



ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము
THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

W.No.38

AMARAVATI, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2022

G.864

PART II - MISCELLANEOUS NOTIFICATIONS OF INTEREST TO THE PUBLIC

--X--

NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS Etc.,

**SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
CHITTOOR.**

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2020.

Dt: 09-09-2022.

CHITTOOR DISTRICT - DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST Sri N.RAVI KUMAR, ARHC 771 OF DAR, CHITTOOR - AWARDED THE PUNISHMENT "**REMOVAL FROM SERVICE**" AND SUSPENSION PERIOD FROM 29-04-2020 TO 15-02-2021 IS TREATED AS LWP.

- Ref:-
1. Memorandum of charge in even no. Dt.16-01-2021.
 2. Proceedings in C.No. A6/OEPR/13/2020, Dt.05-10-2021 of the Supdt. of Police, Chittoor.
 3. Final enquiry report (Minute) dt:01-12-2021 of DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor.
 4. This office Memo in C.No. A6/OEPR/13/2020, Dt. 24-12-2021 enclosing copy of Minutes.
 5. Proceedings in C.No. A6/OEPR/13/2020, D.O.No. 344/2022 Dt.05-10-2021 of the Supdt. of Police, Chittoor.
 6. Special Report of Reserve Inspector, Armed Reserve, Chittoor along with its enclosures.

@@@

D.O No. 400 /2020
A6/OEPR/15/2020

Office of the Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt: 29/04/2020

ANNEXURE-I

Form of order of suspension under Rule 8(1)(c) of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (CCA) Rules 1991.

Sub:- Public Services - Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT of AR Chittoor attached to CCS, Chittoor - Suspension from service - Orders -Issued.

Whereas it has come to the notice of the undersigned who is the competent authority (Appointing authority) alleging that,

"Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon'ble Court with a malafied intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 of APCS (conduct) Rules 1964".

And where as disciplinary proceedings against Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT of AR Chittoor attached to CCS, Chittoor is contemplated and whereas the undersigned after careful consideration of the available material and having due regard to the circumstances of the case, are satisfied that it is necessary to Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT place him under suspension with effect from the date of receipt of this order.

It is further ordered that during the period of suspension of Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT shall be paid subsistence allowance equivalent of the leave salary on half pay leave. The D.A. and other compensatory allowance, the quantum of subsistence will be reviewed and revised in terms of FR 53(i) after 3 months. Pending review he shall continue to draw the subsistence allowance now sanctioned.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers confirmed by Sub-Rule (1) (a) (c) of rule 8 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (CCA) Rules, 1991 the under signed hereby place (s) Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, AR Chittoor attached to CCS, Chittoor is under suspension with effect from the date of receipt of this order, and he shall continue to be under suspension until the conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings.

It is further ordered that during the period of this order remains in force, the head-quarters of Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT shall be at Chittoor and he shall not leave the headquarters without obtaining the prior permission of the under signed.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

To

Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, AR, Chittoor attached CCS, Chittoor in duplicate through the RI AR (Admn) Chittoor for causing service and to return the dated ack. copy to DPO., Chittoor immediately. Sri C. Kumar, ARPC 847/CT of AR Chittoor is instructed to submit his Non-Employment Certificate by 15th of every month.

D.O No. 171/2021
C.No. A6/OEPR/15/2020

Office of the Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt:15-02-2021.

REVOCATION OF SUSPENSION ORDER

Sub:- Chittoor District – Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT now under suspension – Released from Suspension – Orders issued – Regarding.

Ref:- This office D.O.No.400/2020 (A6/OEPR/15/2020)dt :29-04-2020.

@@@

Whereas, an order of placing Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor under suspension was made by the undersigned vide above cited 1st reference for the following delinquency.

“Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon’ble Court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 of APCS (conduct) Rules 1964”. Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964”.

Now, therefore, the undersigned in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (C) of sub-rule (5) of Rule 8 of the APCS (CCA) Rules, 1991, hereby revoke the said order of suspension with immediate effect without prejudice to the departmental/criminal proceedings pending against him.

On release from suspension, he is instructed to report for duty before RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor. The RI, (Admin), DAR, Chittoor is instructed to inform his date of report to this office without fail.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2020

Office of the Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt: 16-09-2020.**MEMORANDUM OF CHARGE**

1. Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT now under suspension is hereby informed that it is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 and 21 of the APCS (CC&A) Rules 1991.
2. The substance of the imputation of gross neglect of duty reprehensible conduct gross misconduct in respect of which an. enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of article of charge (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and list of witnesses by whom the articles of charge are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II and III).
3. Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT now under suspension is directed to submit written statement of his defence within 10 days of the receipt of this memorandum of charge and also to state whether he desires to be heard in person.
4. He is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charge as are not admitted. He should therefore specially admit or deny each article of the charge.
5. Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT now under suspension is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before stipulated time. Further action will be initiated as required in Sub-Rule 5 of Rule 17 of APCS (CC&A) of 1991 to appoint an enquiry officer to hold an enquiry against him.
6. His attention is invited to Rule 24 of the AP Civil Services (Conduct) rules 1964. Under which no Govt. Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to service under the Govt. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of matter dealt with in these proceedings it will be presumed that he is aware of such representation and that if has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule 24 of AP Civil Services (Conduct) Rules 1964.

The receipt of this memorandum of charge should be acknowledged.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

To
Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT now under suspension in duplicate through RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor. The RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor is instructed to cause service on ARHC 771/CT and return the dated acknowledged copy to this office.

C.No.A6/OEPR/15 /2020

O/o The Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt: -12-2020**ANNEXURE-1**

Statement of imputation of mis-conduct or mis-behaviour in support of the article of the charge framed against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT attached to CCS, Chittoor

ARTICLE

"Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon'ble Court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 of APCS (conduct) Rules 1964". Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964".

You have enlisted as Head Constable in Armed Reserve, Chittoor and worked at CCS, Chittoor on attachment up to 08-04-2020 and later became deserter. On 23-03-2020 a case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498-A, 420, 468, 474 r/w 34 IPC was registered against you on the complaint of your wife Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, D.No.1-38, S R Nagar, Nagari Town as you have created forged property documents in the name of your wife and made agreement with V. Nagesh belongs to Hyderabad and took cash of Rs.9,00,000/-. You have also taken loans from Indian Overseas Bank, Narayanavaram and Gajulamandyam on your wife name and started automobile business. Moreover you have harassed your wife suspecting her fidelity.

Thus, you being a member of disciplined force exhibited gross reprehensible misconduct by involving in a criminal case and also done business without obtaining permission from the Department. Thus, you have violated the provisions of Rule. 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964, which is unbecoming of a Govt. Servant.

Hence the charge.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2020

O/o The Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt: -12-2020**ANNEXURE-II**

List of documents by which the charge is proposed to be sustained.

1. Report Dt. 22-05-019 of Inspector of Police, Nagari U P.S
2. Preliminary Enquiry Report Dt 25-10-2020 of Inspector of Police, Nagari Urban
3. Copy of FIR in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498-A, 420, 468, 474 r/w 34 IPC of Nagari Urban P.S
4. Statement of Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, 45 yrs, W/o Ravi Kumar, D.No.138, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
5. Statement of Sri P. Gopal Reddy, 69 yrs, S/o Desa Reddy, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari Town
6. Statement of Smt. P.N.Sreedevi, 47 yrs, W/o Ravi Kumar, NGO colony, Puttur Town, working as MEO of Nagari Mandal.
7. Statement of Smt V. Jyothipriya, 43 yrs, W/o Nagaraju, D.No.138, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
8. Statement of Sri T. Sadasivam, 45 yrs, S/o T. Peddabba, D.No.183, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
- 9) Sri M. Venkateshmodaliyar, 52 yrs, S/o Ramu Modaliyar, D.No.1-118/3, S. R Nagar, Nagari Town.

Any other document/documents will be filed on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry, if found necessary.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

C.No.A6/OEPR/15 /2020

O/o The Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt: -12-2020**ANNEXURE -III**

List of witnesses by whom the charge is proposed to be sustained.

- 1) Sri K. Maddaiah Achari, Inspector of Police, Nagari Circle.
- 2) Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, 45 yrs, W/o Ravi Kumar, D.No.138, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
- 3) Sri P. Gopal Reddy, 69 yrs, S/o Desa Reddy, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari Town
- 4) Smt. P.N.Sreedevi, 47 yrs, W/o Ravi Kumar, NGO colony, Puttur Town, working as MEO of Nagari Mandal.
- 5) Smt V. Jyothipriya, 43 yrs, W/o Nagaraju, D.No.138, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
- 6) Sri T. Sadasivam, 45 yrs, S/o T. Peddabba, D.No.183, S.R Nagar, Nagari Town
- 7) Sri M. Venkateshmodaliyar, 52 yrs, S/o Ramu Modaliyar, D.No.1-118/3, S. R Nagar, Nagari Town.
- 8) Sri U. Prathap Reddy, SI of Police, Nagari U P.S

Any other witness/witnesses will be examined on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry if found necessary.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, CHITTOOR
PRESENT:- S. SENTHIL KUMAR, IPS.,

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2020

Dt: ~~05-10~~ 2021

Sub: PR charge – Oral enquiry against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771, DAR, Chittoor
 - – Appointment of Enquiry Officer i.e. Sri K.Srinivasa Murthy, DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor – Orders – Issued.

Ref:- This office memorandum of charge in even no. dt:16-01-2021.

ORDER:-

Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor was served with a memorandum of charge cited above for the following delinquency committed by him as required in Sub-Rules 3 & 4 APCS (CC&A) Rules:-.

"Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon'ble Court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964".

Whereas, an Oral Enquiry under Rule 20 of A.P Civil Service (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 is being held against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor

And whereas, the undersigned consider that an Enquiry Officer should be appointed to inquire into the charges framed against the said charged officer.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 20 of A.P.C.S (CC& A) Rules, 1991 the undersigned hereby appoints Sri K.Srinivasa Murthy DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor as an Enquiry Officer to inquire into the charges framed against the charged officers.

The connected PR file along with its enclosures is sent herewith for taking further action and its receipt may please be acknowledged.

Encls:- PR file containing pages 1 to 92

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

To
 Sri K.Srinivasa Murthy, DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor
Copy to Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor in duplicate through RI(Admin), DAR, Chittoor for causing service and to send the dated acknowledged copy to DPO, Chittoor immediately.
 Copy to Inspector of Police-1, CCS, Chittoor (P.O).

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, CHITTOOR
PRESENT:- S.SENTHIL KUMAR IPS.,

C.No.A7/OEPR/15/2020

Dt: -09-2021

Sub:- PR Charge – Oral Enquiry against Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor - Appointment of Presenting Officer – Orders – Issued
Ref:- This office memorandum of charge in even no. Dt. 16-01-2021.

ORDER:-

Whereas an enquiry under Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1991 is being held against Sri N. Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor.

Whereas, it is considered that a Presenting officer should be appointed to present on behalf of the disciplinary authority in the case in support of the articles of charges.

Now, therefore, the disciplinary authority in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Rule (5) of Rule 20 of the said rules, hereby appoints the Inspector of Police-1, CCS, Chittoor

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

To

The Inspector of Police-1, CCS, Chittoor. ✓

Copy to Sri K.Srinivasa Murthy, DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor. ✓

✓ Copy to Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor in duplicate through RI(Admin), DAR, Chittoor for causing service and to send the dated acknowledged copy to DPO, Chittoor immediately.

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2020

Office of the Supdt of Police,
Chittoor, dt .24-12-2021**M E M O**

Sub: Chittoor District - Supply of final enquiry report (Minute copy) in respect of Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor - Calling for further representation - Regarding

Ref:-1 Memorandum of charge in even no. Dt.16-01-2021

2 Proceedings in even no. Dt.05-10-2021

3 Final enquiry report (Minute) dt.01-12-2021 of DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor.

@@@

An enquiry was conducted against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor of DSR, Chittoor per Rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 on the following article of charge.

"Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon'ble Court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964".

In the reference 3rd cited, the DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor who was appointed as Enquiry Officer has conducted personal hearing and held the article of charge against the charged officer as 'PROVED'.

I agree with the findings of the Inquiry officer. A copy of the Final Enquiry Report dt.01-12-2021 of the DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor is sent herewith in duplicate to the charged officer.

The charged officer is instructed to submit his further representation within 15 days from the date of receipt of the Final Enquiry Report, failing which it will be presumed that there is no valid further representation to submit by the charged officer and the O. E. will be disposed off on its merits of the case.

Encl: Minute copy.

S. SENTHIL KUMAR,
Superintendent of police,
Chittoor.

To
Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor in duplicate through R1 (Admin),
DAR, Chittoor for causing service and return the dated ack. copy to DPO, Chittoor
immediately.

Oral enquiry report on the departmental enquiry held against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension and presented by K.Srinivasa Murthy, DSP, CCS-2, Chittoor

C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2021, Dt: 15-02-2021 of the Supdt. of Police, Chittoor.

The departmental enquiry has been held against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension by the deputy Superintendent of Police, CCS-2, Chittoor, who was appointed as enquiry officer vide proceeding in C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2021, Dt.15-02-2021 of the Supdt., of Police, Chittoor.

1. Under Sub-Rule (2) of Rule 20 of A.P. Civil services (CC&A) Rules 1991, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, CCS-2, Chittoor has been appointed as enquiry officer by the Superintendent of Police, Chittoor vide proceedings in C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2021, Dt.15-02-2021 of the Supdt., of Police, Chittoor to enquiry into the charges framed against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension. Accordingly, I have commenced oral enquiry as per the orders of Superintendent of Police, Chittoor in C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2021, Dt.15-02-2021 and completed the oral enquiry. After completion of oral enquiry, I have submitted minute of enquiry, which is as follows.
2. Under Sub-Rule 5(C) of the rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rule 1991, Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension has been appointed as presenting officer vide proceedings in C.No.A6/OEPR/15/2021 of the Supdt., of Police, Chittoor so as to present the case in support of the article of charge.
3. The charged officer Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension has attended oral enquiry from the beginning to end. He did not choose to engage any defence Assistant to represent him during O.E and he did not submit any supportive documents.
4. Article of charge framed against charged officer Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension. and substance of imputation of misconduct (or) misbehavior is as follows.

Article-I :- Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension was served a memorandum of charge cited above for the following delinquency committed by him as required in sub-rules 3 & 4 APCS(CC&A) rules.

“Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Honorable court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari UPS. Thus, violated the provisions of rule 3 of A.P.S.C(Conduct rules 1964)”

Basis of the charge:

The charged office was enlisted as Head Constable in Armed Reserve, Chittoor and worked at CCS, Chittoor on attachment upto 8-4-2020 and later became deserter. On 23-3-2020 a case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC was registered against him on the complaint of his wife Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, D.No.1-38, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town, as he has created forged property documents in the name of his wife and made agreement with V.Nagesh belongs to Hyderabad and took cash of Rs. 9,00,000/-. He has also taken loan from Indian Overseas Bank, Narayanavanam and Gajulamandyam on his wife name and started automobile business. Moreover, he has harassed his wife suspecting her fidelity. Thus, he being a member of disciplined force exhibited gross reprehensible misconduct by involving in a criminal case and also done business without obtaining permission from the Department. Thus, he has violated the provisions of Rule 3 of A.P.C.S(Conduct) Rules 1964”, which is unbecoming of a Govt. Servant.

As per Rule 3 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991:

- (1) Every Government employee shall be devoted to his duty and shall maintain absolute integrity discipline, impartiality and a sense of propriety.
- (2) No Government employee shall behave in a manner which is unbecoming of such employee or derogatory to the prestige of Government.
- (3) No Government employee shall act in a manner which will place his official position under any kind of embarrassment.
- (4) No Government employee shall, in performance of his official duties or in the exercise of powers conferred on him, act otherwise than in his best judgment.

Thus, by the above mentioned acts, he has exhibited gross misconduct, sheer dereliction, lack of devotion to duty, moral turpitude and behavior in a manner quite unbecoming of a Govt. servant and thereby violated Rule 3 of A.P.C.S.(Conduct) Rules, 1964.

ANNEXURE-II**LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI N.RAVI KUMAR, ARHC 771/CT, NOW UNDER SUSPENSION ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED.**

1. Report dt. 22-5-2019 of Inspector of Police, Nagari UPS
2. Preliminary Enquiry report, dt. 25-10-2020 of Inspector of Police, Nagari Urban.
3. Copy of FIR in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari UPS.
4. Statement of Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, age 45 years, w/o Ravi Kumar, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
5. Statement of Sri P.Gopal Reddy, age 69 years, s/o Desa Reddy, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
6. Statement of Smt. P.N.Sreedevi, age 47 years, w/o Ravi Kumar, NGO colony, Puttur town, working as MEO of Nagari Mandal.
7. Statement of Smt. V.Jyothipriya, age 43 years, w/o Nagaraju, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
8. Statement of Sri T.Sadasivam, age 45 years, s/o T.Peddabba, D.No.183, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town
9. Statement of Sri M.Venkatesh Modaliyar, age 52 years, s/o Ramu Modaliyar, D.No.1-118/3, S.R.Nagari town.

ANNEXURE-III**LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI N.RAVI KUMAR, ARHC 771/CT, NOW UNDER SUSPENSION ARE PROPOSED TO BE SUSTAINED**

1. Sri K.Maddaiah Achari, Inspector of Police, Nagari UPS
2. Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, age 45 years, w/o Ravi Kumar, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
3. Sri P.Gopal Reddy, age 69 years, s/o Desa Reddy, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
4. Smt. P.N.Sreedevi, age 47 years, w/o Ravi Kumar, NGO colony, Puttur town, working as MEO of Nagari Mandal.
5. Smt. V.Jyothipriya, age 43 years, w/o Nagaraju, D.No.138, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town.
6. Sri T.Sadasivam, age 45 years, s/o T.Peddabba, D.No.183, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town
7. Sri M.Venkatesh Modaliyar, age 52 years, s/o Ramu Modaliyar, D.No.1-118/3, S.R.Nagar town.
8. Sri U.Prathap Reddy, SI of Police, Nagari UPS, now at Yadamari PS.

5. Case of Prosecution:

To substantiate the allegations against the charged officer, all the prosecution witnesses PW1 to PW8 were examined and their depositions were recorded. Total 8 documents under exhibit P1 to P8 were marked by the prosecution witnesses during examination. The gist of all witnesses is as follows.

PW1:- Sri K.Maddaiah Achari, Inspector of Police, Nagari UPS

He is working as Inspector in Nagari Urban Police Station since 21-1-2020. On the complaint lodged by Smt P.N.Vagdevi, wife of Ravi Kumar of Door No.1-38, YR Nagar, Nagari Mandal, Chittoor District, Nagari Urban Police Station SI U. Pratap Reddy has registered a case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498 (A), 420, 468, 474 r/w 34 IPC on 23-3-2020 and started investigation. The prima facie is proved against the accused u/s 498(A) IPC. During the course of investigation, SI U.Prathap Reddy examined and recorded the statements of PN Vagdevi, P. Gopal Reddy, PN Sridevi, V. Jyotipriya, T. Sathasivam and Venkatesh Modaliar u/s 161(3) Cr.P.C. Since the date of registration of the case, the accused Y.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771 is abs coding. If a criminal case is registered against a Government employee, a report should be sent to the high authorities. So, he sent a preliminary report against Y.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771 to the SP, Chittoor. Later, he sent detailed report against Y.Ravi Kumar to SP, Chittoor. During investigation of this case, SI and staff have made strenuous efforts for arresting the accused Y.Ravi Kumar. However, the absconding charge sheet was filed in the honorable court against Y.Ravi Kumar, as the accused could not be traced.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-2: Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, Age:- 47 years, w/o Ravikumar reddy, R/o D.No 138, SR nagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district: She is living in the above address. They are two daughters to their parents. Her elder sister Sridevi is working as MEO in Nagari mandal. Her parents performed her marriage with Ravikumar Reddy of Kothapalli Mitta, S.R.Puram mandal on 24-10-1996. Her husband Ravikumar is working as Head Constable in the Police Department. The whereabouts of her husband is not known for the last 18 months. They got one daughter Lakshmi Prasanna and a son Maurya. Her daughter is studying 1st year in Ag.BSc in Punjab state. Her son is studying second year Diploma in Government Polytechnic college, Nagari. She stayed with her husband in Tirupati, Kuppam and Tirupati. They stayed in Puttur from 2001 to 2017. During their stay in Puttur, in the year 2001, her husband borrowed an amount of Rs. 15 lakhs from IOB bank, Narayanavanam on her name and started a auto mobile shop under name and style of Murugan Auto Mobile shop in Puttur, in the rented room of Ramesh. Her husband stood as surety. Further, her husband took a loan from IOB Bank, Gajulamandyam in Chittoor district in the year 2001 on her name and with that money, he set up a furniture shop in RTC complex, Puttur under the name and style of Sri Padmavathi furniture shop. About 8 years ago, she gave an amount of Rs. 15 lakhs to building owner Ramesh as advance. She mortgaged her two plots, situated in Cherlopalli near Tirupati to the bank for taking the loan. She was looking after the transactions of Murugan automobiles and Padmavathi Furniture shop. But, her husband used to take the profit money from them and he does not tell where the said money is being used. If she asked him about the money, he would harass her, by attributing illegal contacts with the male persons, who comes to the shop. Occasionally, he used to beat her. Doing so, they sustained losses in those business. Ever since the loss in the business, he has been staying away from herself and her children's, by telling her to clear the debts. She received notices from the bank in the month March, 2019 to pay the money. When she brought the same to the notice of her husband to clear the debts, he beat and tortured her. Then she told this matter to her junior paternal uncle, for which, they convinced her that they would come and conduct a panchayat and adjust money. Then, she went to Bank in Narayanavanam, talked to the Manager and gave a letter, saying that she would clear the loan, by selling her two plots. Then, she decided to take back the advance of Rs. 15 lakhs from Ramesh, shop owner for remitting the same in the bank to clear the loan. However, her husband Ravi Kumar took the amount of Rs. 15 lakhs from Ramesh, the shop owner, without telling her. Her husband took away the Innova vehicle, which is in her name, without telling her. Then, she spoke with Venkatakrishna, son of Muragaiah of Tirupati regarding selling of her two plots to him and then, she showed her two plots to him and entered into an agreement with him and paid off the loans in Narayanavanam and Gajulamandyam. Later, Vinod, Jyothi Priya and she went to the Registration Office in Chandragiri and when she tried to register her two plots in the name of Vennkatakrishna, for which, the officer checked her land records and informed her that there is orders of court from Hyderabad that not to register the plots to anyone. When she asked them a copy, they gave her a copy. When he ascertained through a lawyer in Hyderabad, she came to know that as if she had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from him in three installments and she did not pay off the said amount to him so far, they filed a suit in the court in Hyderabad vide suit No: 2668/2019 and also came to know that K.Ramesh and

Kiran Kumar from Tirupati gave evidence against her in the court on 12-11-2019 during the trial. But, in reality, she does not know who Nagesh is. She never took money from anyone other than the bank. After she fetched the documents related to them and enquired about the person by name Ramesh, it was known that he was in the address. Later, she went to the house of Kiran Kumar and enquired him about the matter, for which, he informed her that her husband was in acquaintance with him and he did not give evidence against in court at Hyderabad. From all this, she came to know that her husband had conspired against her and created fake promise notes with the fake signatures of Vagdevi as if she had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from Nagesh in three installments and filed the same in the court through lawyer and the summons issued by the court was not reached to her, made her not to sell her property to anyone, by bringing attachment orders from court and sent it to Chandragiri Sub-Register office. Nagesh, Balaji, Sunitha from Hyderabad, C.Kiran Kumar and K.Ramesh from Tirupati have assisted to her husband in this matter. Her husband is harassing her physically. Then she filed a complaint in Nagari Police station against her husband in March, 2020. The suit created by her husband against her was dismissed by IX Junior Civil Judge court, Hyderabad on 18-8-2020.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-3: Sri P.Gopal Reddy, Age:- 69 years, S/o Desha reddy, R/o D.No 138, SR nagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district, Occu:- Retired teacher: He is residing in D.No 138, SR nagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district. He was retired from service, after he worked as a teacher in Government school. He got two daughters and performed their marriages. His elder brother also has two daughters. They got married to them too. They performed the marriage of Vagdevi with Ravi Kumar, a constable in the police department, resident of Kothapalli Mitta village, S.R.Puram Mandal. They have a daughter and a son. The whereabouts of Ravi Kumar is not known for the last 18 months. The said Ravi Kumar used to quarrel with his wife often, by suspecting her fidelity. Often, Ravi Kumar used to beat Vagdevi. In this regard, occasionally they did panchayat between them. They stayed in Puttur from 2001 to 2017. During their stay in Puttur, in the year 2001, Ravi Kumar borrowed an amount of Rs. 15 lakhs from IOB bank, Narayanavanam and IOB bank in Gajulamandyam on the name of Vagdevi, by pledging two plots, situated in Cherlopalli, near Tirupati and with that money, he set up a auto mobile shop and furniture shop in Puttur. Since long time, Ravi Kumar used to harass Vagdevi, but Vagdevi used to drag her life with him for the bright future of her children's. As they sustained in the loss in the above businesses, Ravi Kumar was not taking properly with Vagdevi. The bank officials sent notices to Vagdevi to pay the money. At that time, Ravi Kumar quarreled with Vagdevi and staying away from Vagdevi and their children's. When Vagdevi brought the same to his notice, at that time, they convinced Ravi Kumar that they would adjust the money. But, he did not heed their words. Then, they went to the bank in Narayanavanam, talked with the Manager and wrote a letter, saying that they would sell the two plots and pay the loan money. Then, they talked with Venkatakrishna, son of Muragaiah of Tirupati and told him that they would sell him two plots in Cherlopalli and then, they showed the two plots to him, entered into an agreed with him. With that amount, they paid off the loan money in Narayanavanam bank and the IOB bank in Gajulamandyam. Later, Vagdevi, his daughter Jyothipriya and Vinod went to the Register office in Chandragiri and told them that the two plots in Vagdevi's name should be registered in Venkatakrishna's name. Then, the officer in Register office checked the lands records and informed that there is court order from Hyderabad that the plots should not be sold to anyone. When they asked the copy, they furnished the copy to them. When they enquired this matter through the known advocate in Hyderabad, it is learnt that Vagdevi had taken of Rs. 9 lakhs from V.Nagesh of Hyderabad in three installments and the same was not paid by her as of now, promissory note was filed in the court and suit number is 2668/2019 and two witnesses K.Ramesh and Kiran Kumar from Tirupati gave evidence against Vagdevi in the court on 12-11-2019 during the trial of the suit. But, in reality, Vagdevi does not know that who Nagesh is. Vagdevi never took money from anyone other than the bank. Then, they fetched the relevant documents and enquired about the person named Ramesh at the address, but he was not at the address mentioned in the suit. Later, they went to the house of Kiran Kumar and enquired him about the matter, for which, he informed them that Ravi Kumar was in acquaintance with him and he did not give evidence against in court at Hyderabad. From all this, they came to know that Ravi Kumar had conspired against Vagdevi and created fake promise notes with the fake signatures of Vagdevi as if she had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs

from Nagesh in three installments and filed the same in the court through lawyer and the summons issued by the court was not reached to Vagdevi, made her not to sell her property to anyone, by bringing attachment orders from court and sent it to Chandragiri Sub-Register office. The suit created by Ravi Kumar against Vagdevi was dismissed by IX Junior Civil Judge court, Hyderabad on 18-8-2020.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-4: P.N.Sreedevi, Age:- 47 years, W/o Ravikumar, R/o NGO colony, Putturu town, Occu:- MEO of Nagari mandal:She is residing in NGO colony, Putturu town, Chittoor district. She is currently working as MEO in Nagari mandal. She was married and got a son and a daughter. Her husband is working as Inspector of Industries. Her parents have got two daughters. they got married Vagadevi with Ravi Kumar, a police constable in Police department. The whereabouts of Ravi Kumar is not known for the last 18 months. Vagdevi got a son and a daughter. They stated in Puttur from 2001 to 2018. Ravi Kumar used to beat Vagdevi and harassed her physically and mentally. Her brother in law Ravi Kumar took a loan of Rs 15 lakhs from IOB bank in Narayanavanam in the name of his sister, by mortgaging her two plots, situated in Cherlopalli near Tirupati and with that amount, he set up a auto mobile shop in Puttur and with the amount taken from IOB, Gajulamandyam, by mortgaging plot, Ravi Kumar started a Sri Padmavathi furniture shop. The money coming from the above business, were used by her brother in law Ravi Kumar. Hence, there was loss in the business for some extent. The officials of IOB bank sent notices to her younger sister Vagdevi to clear the loan. When his sister told Ravi Kumar about the notice, for which, Ravi Kumar got into fight with her and asked her to pay the money herself and staying away from her sister and children's. When Vagdevi informed the matter to their junior paternal uncle, for which their junior paternal uncle informed Sridevi that they would talk with Ravi Kumar and adjust the money. But, Ravi Kumar did not listen them. Ravi Kumar took away the Innova car, which is in the name of Vagdevi, without telling anyone. Then, they entered into an agreement for selling the two plots, situated in Cherlopalli, near Tirupati. Then Sridevi talked with Venkatakrishna, son of Muragaiah of Tirupati that she would sell her two plots to him. After receiving amount from him, she clear the loan amounts in IOB Narayanavanam and Gajulamanydam. Later, Vagdevi, Vinod, Jyothipriya and Venkatakrishna went to the Register Office in Chandragiri, where she informed the officials in Register Office that she intends to register her two plots in the name of Venkatakrishna. The officer in Register Office checked the land records and informed them that there is a court order from Hyderabad that the property should not be sold to anyone. In this regard, when their junior paternal uncle ascertained through a lawyer in Hyderabad, they came to know that as if Sridevi had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from V.Nagesh in three installments and she did not pay off the said amount to him so far, they filed a suit in the court in Hyderabad vide suit No: 2668/2019 and also came to know that K.Ramesh and Kiran Kumar from Tirupati gave evidence against Vagdevi in the court on 12-11-2019 during the trial. But, in reality, Vagdevi does not know who Nagesh is and she never take money from anyone other than the bank. After Vagdevi fetched the documents related to them and enquired about the person by name Ramesh, it was known that he was not in the address. Later, she went to the house of Kiran Kumar and enquired him about the matter, for which, he informed her that her husband was in acquaintance with him and he did not give evidence against in court at Hyderabad. From all this, they came to know that Ravi Kuar had conspired against Vagdevi and created fake promise notes with the fake signatures of Vagdevi as if she had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from Nagesh in three installments and filed the same in the court through lawyer and the summons issued by the court was not reached to her, made her not to sell her property to anyone, by bringing attachment orders from court and sent it to Chandragiri Sub-Register office. The suit created by Ravi Kumar against Vagdevi was dismissed by IX Junior Civil Judge court, Hyderabad on 18-8-2020.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-5: V.Jyothipriya, Age: 43 years, W/o Nagaraju, R/o D.No 138, SR nagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district:She is the resident of D.No 138, SR nagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district. Her parents performed her marriage with Nagaraj. They got a daughter. Her husband is working as software engineer in Bangalore. Her junior paternal uncle has two daughters. Her junior paternal uncle's daughter Vagdevi was given in marriage with Ravi Kumar, a police constable working in the Police department. The whereabouts of Ravi Kumar was not known for the last 18 months. They stayed in Puttur from 2001 to 2018.

They have a son and a daughter. Ravi Kumar used to beat Vagdevi and harassed her physically and mentally. Ravi Kumar mortgaged two plots, situated in Cherlopalli, near Tirupati, which are on the name of Vagdevi, in IOB Bank, Narayanavanam and took loan of Rs. 15 lakhs in Vagdevi name. With that money, Ravi Kumar established a Murugan auto mobile centre in Puttur. Ravi. Then, Ravi Kumar also took loan from IOB, Gajulamandyam, by mortgaging the plots. Kumar and established a Padmavathi furniture shop. Ravi Kumar used the money coming in those business. Hence, there was a loss in the business for some extent. IOB bank sent notices to Vagdevi to pay the loan amount. When Vagdevi informed Ravi Kumar about the notice, for which Ravi Kumar got into fight with Vagdevi and told her to pay the loan amount herself. Since then, Ravi Kumar was staying away from Vagdevi and Children's. When, Vagdevi informed the same to her father, for which, her father informed Vagdevi that he would talk with Ravi Kumar and he would adjust the money. But, Ravi Kumar did not listen them. Ravi Kumar took away the Innova car, which is on the name of Vagdevi without telling anyone. Then they talked with Venkatakrishna, son of Murugaiah of Tirupati and told him that they would sell him the plots in Cherlopalli, near Tirupati. Then, they entered into agreement with Venkatakrishna. With the amount given by Venkatakrishna, Vagdevi clear the bank loans in IOB, Narayanavanam and Gajulamandyam. Later, Vagdevi, Vinod, herself and Venkatakrishna went to the Register Office in Chandragiri, where they informed the officials in Register Office that they intends to register Vagdevi's two plots in the name of Venkatakrishna. The officer in Register Office checked the land records and informed them that there is a court order from Hyderabad that the property should not be sold to anyone. In this regard, when hsi father ascertained through a lawyer in Hyderabad, they came to know that as if Sridevi had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from V.Nagesh in three installments and she did not pay off the said amount to him so far, they filed a suit in the court in Hyderabad vide suit No: 2668/2019 and also came to know that K.Ramesh and Kiran Kumar from Tirupati gave evidence against Vagdei in the court on 12-11-2019 during the trial. But, in reality, Vagdevi does not know who Nagesh is and she never take money from anyone other than the bank. After they fetched the documents related to them and enquired about the person by name Ramesh, it was known that he was not in the address. Later, they went to the house of Kiran Kumar and enquired him about the matter, for which, he informed them that Ravi Kumar was in acquaintance with him and he did not give evidence against Vagdevi in court at Hyderabad. From all this, they came to know that Ravi Kumar had conspired against Vagdevi and created fake promise notes with the fake signatures of Vagdevi as if she had taken cash of Rs. 9 lakhs from Nagesh in three installments and filed the same in the court through lawyer and the summons issued by the court was not reached to her, made her not to sell her property to anyone, by bringing attachment orders from court and sent it to Chandragiri Sub-Register office. The suit created by Ravi Kumar against Vagdevi was dismissed by IX Junior Civil Judge court, Hyderabad on 18-8-2020.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-6: T.Sadasivam, Age:-45 years, S/o T.Peddabba, R/o D.No 183, SRNagar of Nagari town, Chittoor district:He is the resident of D.No 183, SRNagar of Nagari town, Chittoor dist. He is married and he has a daughter. He is working as a drill master in Gangamambapuram village, Vijayapuram mandal. His wife is working as Tamil teacher. They are staying in Gopal's house for rent. So, he know Vagdevi, her husband Ravi Kumar and Gopal very well. The elders performed the marriage of Ravi Kumar with Vagdevi. They have a daughter and a son. Ravi Kumar is working as police constable in the police department. They were doing Automobile business and furniture shop business in Puttur. Ravi Kumar used to harass Vagdevi mentally and physically. Ravi Kumar used to keep away Vagdevi from her elder sister and other relatives and made her not to talk with them. If Vagdevi talked with anyone, Ravi Kumar used to attribute that she was having an affair with them. Every day, Ravi Kumar used to harass Vagdevi physically and mentally. On one day, Ravi Kumar beat Vagdevi hardly. Then Gopal went and asked Ravikumar as to why he was beating Vagdevi, at that time, Ravi Kumar also tried to beat Gopal. Later, Ravi Kumar went away from the house. He does not know about Nagesh or Balaji of Hyderabad and not known about the civil cases in Hyderabad.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-7: Sri M.Venkatesh Modiliyar, Age:- 52 years, S/o Ramu modiliyar, R/o D.No 1-118/3, SRNagar of Nagari municipality, Chittoor district: He is the resident of D.No 1-118/3, SRNagar of Nagari municipality, Chittoor district. He is married and had two sons. He is working as an Assistant in R & B department in Nagari area. They were staying in the house of Gapal on rent. So, he know Gopal, Vagdevi and her husband Ravi Kumar very well. Vagdevi and her husband Ravi Kumar also resided in the one of the house portion of Gopal for one year on rent. The elders from both sides performed the marriage of Ravi Kumar with Vagdevi. They have a daughter and a son. Ravi Kumar is working as police constable in the police department. They were doing Automobile business and furniture shop business in Puttur. Ravi Kumar used to harass Vagdevi mentally and physically. Ravi Kumar used to keep away Vagdevi from her elder sister and other relatives and made her not to talk with them. If Vagdevi talked with anyone, Ravi Kumar used to attribute that she was having an affair with them. Everyday, Ravi Kumar used to harass Vagdevi physically and mentally. On one day, Ravi Kumar beat Vagdevi hardly. Then Gopal went and asked Ravikumar as to why he was beating Vagdevi, at that time, Ravi Kumar also tried to beat Gopal. Later, Ravi Kumar went away from the house. He does not know about Nagesh or Balaji of Hyderabad and not known about the civil cases in Hyderabad.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

PW-8: Sri U.Prathap Reddy, Sub-Inspector of Police of Yadamaru PS, formerly Sub-Inspector of Police, Nagari UPS, Chittoor district: He is currently working as a Sub-Inspector in Yadamaru Police station. Prior to that he worked at Nagari Urban Police Station from 18-1-2020 to 29-12-2020. While he was present at the police station on 23-3-2020, one PN Vagdevi, wife of Ravikumar of Door No.1-38, SR Nagar, Nagari Mandal, Chittoor District came to Nagari UPS and lodged a report. On verifying her complaint, it is found that the complainant Vagdevi's husband Ravikumar is working as Head Constable in the Police Department. Since the marriage of Vagdevi, her husband Ravi Kumar has been harassing her physically and mentally. She has been enduring his harassment for the future of her children'. Her husband had run automobiles and furniture business in Puttur and he took care of the financial transaction of those businesses. His harassment has been escalated towards Vagdevi, as suffered huge loss in the business. As Ravi Kumar took the advance of Rs. 15 lakhs from the shop owner with the forged signature of Vagdevi, without her knowledge, Vagdevi decided to close the business. Then, Ravi Kumar received bank possession notices on 22-11-2017 during the absence of Vagdevi for the loan availed by Vagdevi and the same was published in Sakshi and Hindu news papers on 25-11-2017. On 29-11-2017 Vagdevi was serviced service notice, for which, she gave assurance to the bank that she would clear the loan, after selling the property. Then she entered into agreement with A.Venkatakrishnaiah, son of Murugaiah of Tirupati to sell the plots to him, situated in Cherlopalli on 11-12-2019. With the advance amount of A.Venkatakrishnaiah, Vagdevi cleared the loan in IOB, Narayanavanam Bank. When the bank officials went to the Register Office in Chandragiri along with Vagdevi to cancel her mortgage on 5-3-2020, for which, the Sub-Registrar informed their inability in canceling the mortgage, since there is restraining order of court from Hyderabad. Shocking to the above reply, when she caused enquires, she came to know that as if she was indebted to V.Nagesh, a resident of D.No.13/1/1140/A/P72, Mahalakshmi Nagar, Hyderabad and the said V.Nagesh filed a suit in 9th Junior Civil court on 24-1-2020. She did not take any loans from private persons, except for securing loans in the bank for business purpose. She also learnt that she taken an amount of Rs. 9 lakhs in installments on 29-07-2017, 19-11-2017, 11-04-2018, by giving 3 promissory notes and Sunitha and Balaji are the witness for that transaction. Further, she also came to know that except for the above on 6-11-2019 some of the persons have applied in Sub-Register Office, Chandragiri for plot documents, E.C documents and valuation certificate and without her knowledge the said documents were filed in Hyderabad court by Nagesh and obtained restraining orders. On 6-11-2019 she did not go to the Register Office, Chandragiri and documents were not handed over by Nagesh. Later, she came to know that her husband Y.Ravi Kumar was maliciously forging her signature through Nagesh and harassing her. Then on 11-3-2020 she went to Sub-Register Office, Chandragiri and enquired Sub-Registrar that who applied for the documents in her name and she asked the CC footage, for which, they abused her inhumanly without considering her as woman. At that time, she was accompanied by her brother Vinod and sister Jyothi Priya at Chandragiri Sub-Register Office. Later, some of the persons in Sub-Register office, Chandragiri had provided informed to her husband. Upon learning of this, her husband went to visit her

daughter and her son, who were studying in Vijayawada. They told her by crying with fear. Having no other go, she came to Police station. She is having life threat from her husband. Hence, she requested to take action against her husband, besides taking action against Sunitha, Balaji, C.Kiran Kumar and K.Ramesh, who are the witnesses to Nagesh, who created a promissory note in her name and forged her signature and assisted her husband Ravi Kumar in filing a false case against her. Further, she also requested to take action against Sub-Registrar and Senior Assistant in kept pending her registration. On the complaint of P.N.Vagdevi, on 23-3-2020 at 7.00 PM, a case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/ 498(A), 420, 468, 474 r/w 34 IPC was registered at Nagari UPS and took up investigation. During the course of 6 witnesses were examined. After completion of investigation, the prima facie is made out against the accused N.Ravi Kumar u/s 498(A) IPC and filed absconding charge sheet in the Honorable court.

Cross examination by the delinquent:- Absented for OE

6.Case of defendant : After completion the recording the statements of the prosecution witness both in chief, on enquiry it came to know that as per the orders of Supdt., of Police, Chittoor vide Rc.No.A8/556/59/2020, 29-2-2020, charged officer N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT reported for duty in CCS, Chittoor on 9-3-2020 at 10.00 AM, as he was temporarily attached to CCS, Chittoor from DAR, V.R.Chittoor. Then, he absented for duty from 9-4-2020 till 16-4-2020 without leave or prior intimation. After completion of 21 days, a report was submitted to the S.P, Chittoor. Basing on the report, the charged officer was placed under suspension vide D.O.No.400/2020, A6/OEPR/15/2020, dt. 29-4-2020 of the Suptd., of Police, Chittoor. Since then, he was continuously absent for duty and his whereabouts are not known.

Further, on enquiry with Duty RSI, AR, Chittoor, it is learnt that the charged officer has not reported for duty.

The enquiries made with SHO, S.R.Puram PS revealed that a case in Cr.No.101/2020 u/s Man Missing was registered against the disappearance of charged officer N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT and efforts are being made to trace the missing man and the case is under investigation.

7. Analysis and Assessment of evidence:-

- I have gone through the Memorandum of charge initiated against the charged officer, statements of prosecution witnesses (PWs), prosecution documents (Ex.P) to arrive at a conclusion that whether the charges against the charged officer Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension are proved (or) not.
- The article of charge framed against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension (here after referred as charged officer) is that

The charged office was enlisted as Head Constable in Armed Reserve, Chittoor and worked at CCS, Chittoor on attachment upto 8-4-2020 and later became deserter. On 23-3-2020 a case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC was registered against him on the complaint of his wife Smt. P.N.Vagdevi, D.No.1-38, S.R.Nagar, Nagari town, as he has created forged property documents in the name of his wife and made agreement with V.Nagesh belongs to Hyderabad and took cash of Rs. 9,00,000/-. He has also taken loan from Indian Overseas Bank, Narayanavanam and Gajulamandyam on his wife name and started automobile business. Moreover, he has harassed his wife suspecting her fidelity. **Thus, he being a member of disciplined force exhibited gross reprehensible misconduct by involving in a criminal case and also done business without obtaining permission from the Department. Thus, he has violated the provisions of Rule 3 of A.P.C.S(Conduct) Rules 1964", which is unbecoming of a Govt. Servant.**

To arrive at a conclusion that whether charges are proved (or) not, 08 prosecution witnesses (PW1 to PW8) and its documents (Ex.P1 to Ex.P9) have been examined during oral enquiry. Based on the statements and material records furnished in oral enquiry, the following points have been revealed.

9. **Finding: 1.** As per the statement of PW-1 and on perusal of documents in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498 (A), 420, 468, 474 r/w 34 IPC, it was clearly established that the charge officer harassed his wife P.Vagdevi physically and mentally, by suspecting her fidelity.

2. As per the statements of PWs 3 to 7, it was also established that the delinquent subjected PW-1 to mental agony, by suspecting her fidelity and also neglected the welfare of the family. The guilt of the delinquent was well proved from the evidence of LWs 3 to 7.

3. Due to the disappearance of delinquent, I could not secure his presence for OE. Despite, I have made stringent efforts to trace out the delinquent, but in vain. Under the above circumstance, I am submitting the enquiry report as Ex-party, as the delinquent failed to attend the OE. Thus, I have completed my enquiry

Thus, basing on the evidences and the statements of witnesses, the allegations against the charged officer has been “**proved**”.

K. SRINIVASA MURTHY,

Enquiry Officer,

Dy. Superintendent of Police,

CCS-II, Chittoor.

Certificate

This is to certify that the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of A.P.Civil services (C.C.&A) Ruls 1991 has been followed during the course of conducting oral enquiry against the charged officer Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, now under suspension.

K. SRINIVASA MURTHY,

Enquiry Officer,

Dy. Superintendent of Police,

CCS-II, Chittoor.

Received copy.

*P.N.Vagdevi
28-1-2022.*

Resubmitted after served copy

Sd/-.,

Duty Reserve Sub-Inspector,

Armed Reserve, Chittoor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SUPDT.OF POLICE, CHITTOOR
PRESENT: S. SENTHIL KUMAR, I.P.S.,

D.O No. 341A/2022
 C.No. A6/OEPR/13/2020

Dt. 01-04-2022

Sub:- Chittoor District - Disciplinary action against Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771 of DAR, Chittoor- Awarded the punishment "Removal from service" and suspension period from 29-04-2020 to 15-02-2021 is treated as Not on duty and absence period from 16.02.2021 to till date is treated as LWP - Orders - Issued - Reg.

Ref:- 1. Memorandum of charge in even no. Dt.16-01-2021.
 2. Proceedings in even no. Dt.05-10-2021
 3. Final enquiry report (Minute) dt:01-12-2021 of DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor
 4. This office memo in even no. Dt. 24-12-2021 enclosing copy of minutes.

@@@

ORDER

Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor was dealt with on a charge entailing O.E.P.R for the following delinquency:

"Exhibited gross misconduct by cheating his wife and prepared forgery documents to get orders from the Hon'ble Court with a mollified intention to harass his wife and thereby involved in a criminal case in Cr.No.67/2020 u/s 498(A), 420, 468, 474 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Nagari U P.S . Thus violated the provisions of Rule 3 & 10 (1) (1-A) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules 1964".

Memorandum of charges were framed on 16-01-2021 basing on the preliminary enquiry report of Inspector of Police, Nagari Urban P.S and served to his wife on 05-08-2021 as his whereabouts were not known. He failed to submit his defence statement.

Hence, Sri K.Srinivasa Murthy, DSP-2, CCS, Chittoor was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide proceedings cited in 2nd reference. He conducted oral enquiry and submitted his final inquiry minutes Dt. 01-12-2021 by holding the charges as "PROVED" on Ex-parte grounds.

The RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor served the final inquiry minutes also to the wife of the charged officer on 28-01-2022 as the whereabouts of charged officer are not known.

The whereabouts of the charged officer is not known since the registration of the criminal case.

The charged officer has exhibited gross dereliction of duty by involving himself in a criminal case and becoming absented for duty without any intimation and also not reported for duty after releasing from suspension. His suspension was revoked on 15-02-2021 with instructions to report before RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor for further duties. But he failed to report and continued to be absent till to date. In this regard, on 30-06-2020 a case of man missing in Cr.No.101/2020 was registered in S.R.Puram P.S.

After going through the entire PR file and connected records, the charged officer being an employee of a disciplined force obtained loan and started automobile business on his wife name without prior permission from the appointment authority. He also not submitted Annual Property Returns mentioning the details of income of his family members since his appointment. Further according to the statement of his wife he subjected her to physical and mental harassment suspecting her fidelity which is a subject matter of criminal case. He intentionally went missing to escape from the criminal and departmental proceedings. Hence the charged officer is awarded with the punishment of "Removal from Service" besides treating the suspension period from 29-04-2020 to 15-02-2021 and absence period from 16-02-2021 to till to date as Not on Duty.

Sd/-,
Superintendent of Police,
Chittoor.

To

Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771/CT, DAR, Chittoor in duplicate through the RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor. The RI (Admin), DAR, Chittoor will serve the proceedings and obtain his dated ack. copy and return the same to DPO for record.

Date :04/05/2022

To

The Superintendent of Police,
Chittoor.

Sir,

Sub: AR Chittoor – Sending of acknowledgement copy of the original Proceedings of “Awarded the Punishment “Removal from Service” Sri N.Ravi Kumar ARHC 771 of AR, Chittoor served to his wife by obtaining witness signature at his own residence Sub of - Regarding.

Ref: Memo Do.No.344/2022, C.No.A6/OEPR/13/2020, Dt.01.04.2022 of The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor.

I submit that the above reference cited “Proceedings of the Supdt. of Police – Awarded the Punishment of **“Removal from Service”** has been issued to Sri N.Ravi Kumar ARHC 771 of AR, Chittoor to serve and return to DPO. In this connection on 30.04.2022 at 12.30 hrs Sri R.Amara Narayana, ARSI 2663 was deputed and passported along with Bus Warrant: 70162-70203 to serve the above proceedings copies to ARHC 771 Sri N.Ravi Kumar. Sri R.Amara Narayana, ARSI 2663 had proceeded to Nagari PS and reached the residence #10-1-118/4, SR Nagar of ARHC 771 along with CPC 4064 Sri N.Koti, Nagari PS and noticed that, the above ARHC 771 Sri N.Ravi Kumar was not present at his residence. He enquired his wife Smt P.N.Vagdevi about ARHC 771. She replied that, “I don’t know the whereabouts of my husband”. In this regard, the above copies were served to his wife Smt. P.N.Vagdevi under proper acknowledgement and obtained photograph while serving the copies. After that, he enquired neighbors about ARHC 771 and known that, he was not available since several days and they don’t know his whereabouts. After that, Sri R.Amara Narayana, ARSI 2663 returned to Head Quarters reported for duty with special report stating that the above copies were served to his wife under proper acknowledgement along with digital photograph of serving, due to non availability of Sri N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771 and his whereabouts not known.

Hence I am here with sending back the original “Proceedings copies” after serving to ARHC 771 wife Smt. P.N.Vagdevi along with Special report of Sri R.Amara Narayana, ARSI 2663, duty passport, digital photograph and special report of Smt P.N.Vagdevi, W/o N.Ravi Kumar, ARHC 771 and witness Sri P.Gopal Reddy, Councilor, 4th Ward, Nagari for kind perusal and further action.

Sd/-,

Reserve Inspector,
Armed Reserve, Chittoor.